

Examining the use of race in clinical decision-making: hypertension prescription management guidelines example



Background

- Medical education emphasizes evidence-based guidelines and algorithms for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment, which are considered authoritative. Some of this "evidence-based" medicine is rooted in historical biases, such as the [slavery hypertension hypothesis](#), which assumes inherent biological differences between racial groups.
- These biases can be embedded in clinical guidelines, leading to inequitable outcomes. For example, the [JNC 8 Evidence-Based Guideline for the Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults](#), published in 2014 and influenced by the [Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial \(ALLHAT\) study](#), recommends different initial treatments for Black versus non-Black patients based on data interpreted through a racial worldview (RWV), which assumes biological differences between races, [leading to overstated conclusions about drug efficacy](#).
- Providers may be hesitant to question authoritative guidelines or deviate from established protocols due to concerns about patient safety and professional liability. However, [unlearning these biases is necessary to ensure equity in care delivery for all patients](#), because simply applying guidelines or algorithms without critical analysis of the use of race can perpetuate harm.



Findings

- Providers must recognize that race is a social construct, [not an effective proxy for genetic or biological differences](#), and should [critically analyze the evidence behind any race-based recommendations](#) that influence medical decision-making.
- This includes acknowledging the historical context of medical guidelines and tools. For example:
 - The JNC 8 guidelines, which recommend different initial treatments for Black versus non-Black patients, were informed by the ALLHAT study, [which had several flaws](#).
 - [These flaws include:](#)
 - Poorly defined racial categories.

- A shift from primary outcomes (showing no significant differences) to secondary outcomes to produce racialized conclusions.
- An artificial study design that disadvantaged the ACE inhibitor group, overstated differences between racial groups, and selective uptake of evidence.
- Such issues reveal how an RWV can shape research design and interpretation, leading to [self-fulfilling prophecies](#) of racial differences influencing healthcare decision-making.



Lessons learned

- ✓ Providers who critically evaluate clinical guidelines and algorithms can avoid perpetuating health disparities for minoritized patients based on harmful racial assumptions. Improved patient care is possible when providers [focus on environmental factors, including social determinants of health, rather than race](#) as a predictor of treatment response.
- ✓ To move forward, providers can:
 - Embrace **biocritical inquiry**, which considers both genetic and environmental factors as well as the social context in health outcomes.
 - Pursue **structural competency**, to explore the impact of social and economic forces on health.
 - Practice **race-conscious medicine**, to recognize the impact of racism on patient health outcomes.
- ✓ This approach leads to more ethical, equitable, and effective clinical practice that promotes health for all patients.

Citations

1. Savage, L.C., & Panofsky, A. (2023). The self-fulfilling process of clinical race correction: The case of Eighth Joint National Committee recommendations. *Health Equity*, 7(1), 793–802.
2. James, P. A., Oparil, S., Carter, B. L., Cushman, W. C., Dennison-Himmelfarb, C., Handler, J., Lackland, D. T., LeFevre, M. L., MacKenzie, T. D., Ogedegbe, O., Smith, S. C., Jr, Svetkey, L. P., Taler, S. J., Townsend, R. R., Wright, J. T., Jr, Narva, A. S., & Ortiz, E. (2014). 2014 evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). *JAMA*, 311(5), 507–520. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.284427>
3. Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/science/antihypertensive-and-lipid-lowering-treatment-prevent-heart-attack-trial-allhat>
4. Kaufman, Jay S.; Hall, Susan A.. The Slavery Hypertension Hypothesis: Dissemination and Appeal of a Modern Race Theory. *Epidemiology* 14(1):p 111-118, January 2003.
5. Cerdeña, J. P., Plaisime, M. V., & Tsai, J. (2020). From race-based to race-conscious medicine: how anti-racist uprisings call us to act. *Lancet (London, England)*, 396(10257), 1125–1128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32076-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32076-6)